

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 CLARENCE D JOHNSON, JR,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 JUDGE KILBERT, et al.,

14 Defendants.  
15

Case No. C21-593RSM

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

16 *Pro se* Plaintiff Clarence D. Johnson has been granted leave to proceed *in forma*  
17 *pauperis* in this matter. Dkt. #7. The Complaint was posted on the docket on May 25, 2021.  
18 Dkt. #8. Summonses have not yet been issued.

19 On May 26, 2021, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause why this case should not be  
20 dismissed for failing to set forth a claim for relief as required by Federal Rule of Civil  
21 Procedure 8(a) and for frivolousness under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). Dkt. #12. The Court  
22 gave Mr. Johnson 21 days to file a response, warning that failure to respond “will result in  
23 dismissal of this case.” *Id.* at 2. Mr. Johnson has failed to file a timely response.  
24

25 Mr. Johnson brings suit against “Judge Kilbert,” and “Queen Elizabeth” using a form  
26 complaint. Dkt. #8. For “Statement of Claim,” he has written only “judicial kidnapping see  
27 agent Byeas FBI,” followed by what appears to be a case number. *Id.* at 5. For “Request for  
28

1 Relief,” he has written only “contact Cochran law firm” followed by a phone number and  
2 “white house gov been served.” *Id.* at 6. He indicates that he has filed previous lawsuits in  
3 federal court involving the parties “Queen Elizabeth Joe Biden Clarence D. Johnson.” *Id.* at 4.

4 The Court will dismiss a Complaint at any time if the action fails to state a claim, raises  
5 frivolous or malicious claims, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from  
6 such relief. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).  
7

8 Mr. Johnson’s Complaint fails to set forth a claim for relief as required by Federal Rule  
9 of Civil Procedure 8(a). There are no coherent citations to law or references to facts. The  
10 opaque references to various public figures throughout the filings indicate this case is frivolous  
11 on its face. The Court finds that this Complaint suffers from deficiencies that require dismissal.  
12 *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).  
13

14 Having reviewed the relevant briefing and the remainder of the record, the Court hereby  
15 finds and ORDERS that Plaintiff’s claims are DISMISSED and this case is CLOSED.  
16

17  
18 DATED this 21<sup>st</sup> day of June, 2021.  
19

20  
21 

22 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
23 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28